

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/719,171	11/21/2003	Donald J. Propp	20002.128	2350
7590 06/12/2007 Christopher J. Fildes			EXAMINER	
Fildes & Outland. P.C.			NGUYEN, VI X	
Suite 2 20916 Mack Av	venue		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Grosse Pointe Woods, MI 48236			3734	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/12/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

			<u> </u>		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/719,171	PROPP ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Victor X. Nguyen	3734			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING [2] - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1, after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuf Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMU .136(a). In no event, however, may d will apply and will expire SIX (6) Note, cause the application to become	NICATION. If a reply be timely filed IONTHS from the malling date of this communication ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		•			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 221	<u>March 2007</u> .				
,-					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 (J.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 14-18 is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers		•			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct at 11). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination.	cepted or b) objected or b) objected or b) objected or b) objected in abe	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121((d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bure: * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received i ority documents have be au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No een received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper	ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application 			

Art Unit: 3734

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of Group I, Claims 1-13 in the reply filed on 3/22/2007 is acknowledged. Therefore, non-elected claims 14-18 are withdrawn from further consideration. Applicant did not provide any reason as why to the restriction is improper, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP\$813.03(a)).

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-13 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-3,6-10 of copending Application No.10/284,519. They are not patentably distinct from each other because they recite the same subject matters as following: a first elongated member having a handle end portion, a working tip portion, a box hinge portion between the handle and tip end portions, a second elongated member having a handle end portion, a working tip portion, a box hinge portion between the handle and tip end portions, the first box hinge portion defined by a slot, a second box hinge portion is continuous in width with the handle and tip portions on either side of

Art Unit: 3734

the box hinge portion. As to claims 4,7-13 are not patentably distinct from each other because they are essentially the same as those in the cited copending application No. 10/284,519.

This is a provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Victor X. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-4699. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8-4.30 P.M).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Hayes can be reached on (571) 272-4697. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000. Maryen Vrapor

Victor X Nguyen Examiner Art Unit 3734

VN 6/6/2007